Casse 3:10-cv-02833-RS Document 52 Filed 08/01/11 Page 11 of 155

1		*E-Filed 8/1/11*	
1	nancyabell@paulhastings.com		
2	HEATHER A. MORGAN (SB# 17742 heathermorgan@paulhastings.com		
3	PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 515 South Flower Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor		
4	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2228 Telephone: (213) 683-6000 / Facsim	ile: (213) 627-0705	
5	GINA GUARIENTI COOK (SB# 245	· , ,	
6	ginacook@paulhastings.com` PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & V		
7	55 Second Street, Twenty-Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105		
8	Telephone: (415) 856-7000 / Facsim	ile: (415) 856-7100\	
9	Attorneys for Defendants		
10	KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH F KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITAL	LS, and	
11	THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GR		
12	[Plaintiffs' Counsel listed on next page	e.j	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	BRENDA HILL, MEDHANIE	Case No. CV 10 2833-RS	
16	BERNE, PATSY HARDY, MICHELLE MIKE, EVELYN	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE	
17	JENNINGS and RENA HARRISON, on behalf of	SCHEDULING AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED	
18	themselves and all others similarly	COMPLAINT OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STRIKE AND FOR A MORE DEFINITE	
19	situated,	STATEMENT AS MODIFIED BY COURT	
20	Plaintiffs,		
21	vs.	Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg Department: Courtroom 3, 17th Floor	
22	KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC.; KAISER	•	
23	FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, INC.; and THE PERMANENTE	Complaint Filed: June 28, 2010	
24	MEDICAL GROUP, all doing business as KAISER		
25	PERMANENTE MEDICAL CARE PROGRAM,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28			
	Case No. CV 10 28833-RS		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND MOTIONS RE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

$\textbf{Casse 3:10-cv-02833-RS} \quad \textbf{Doccurrentt52} \quad \textbf{Filled 08/01/11} \quad \textbf{Page 22} \text{ of } \textbf{f5}$

1	GORDON W. RENNEISEN
2	grenneisen@cornerlaw.com CORNERSTONE LAW GROUP
3	595 Market Street, Suite 2360 San Francisco, California 94105
4	Telephone: (415) 625-5025 Facsimile: (415) 655-8236
5	JEREMY L. FRIEDMAN
6	ilfried@comcast.net Attorney At Law
7	2801 Sylhowe Road Oakland, California 94602
8	Telephone: (510) 530-9060 Facsimile: (510)530-9087
9	KENDRA L. TANACEA
10	Kendra.tanacea@sbcglobal.net LAW OFFICES OF KENDRA L. TANACEA
11	198 Corbett Avenue San Francisco, California 94114 Telephone: (415) 024 8844
12	Telephone: (415) 934-8844 Facsimile: (415) 934-8840
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	BRENDA HILL, MEDHANIE BERNE, PATSY HARDY, MICHELLE MIKE, EVELYN JENNINGS and BENJA HARDISON
15	EVELYN JENNINGS and RENA HARRISON on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No. CV 10 28833-RS STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND MOTIONS RE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among the Plaintiffs, Brenda Hill,	
2	Medhanie Berne, Patsy Hardy, Michelle Mike, Evelyn Jennings and Rena Harrison (hereinafter	
3	"Plaintiffs"), by and through their counsel of record, Jeremy L. Friedman, Gordon W. Renneiser	
4	of Cornerstone Law Group, and Kendra L. Tanacea of Law Offices of Kendra L. Tanacea, and	
5	Defendants Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, and The	
6	Permanente Medical Group, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of	
7	record, Nancy L. Abell of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, as set forth below.	
8	WHEREAS:	
9	1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint or in	
10	the Alternative, to Strike and for a More Definite Statement (the "Motion to Dismiss"), is	
11	currently set for hearing on August 25, 2011 and Plaintiffs' opposition papers are due on August	
12	4, 2011.	
13	2. After Defendants filed the Motion to Dismiss, the parties met and	
14	conferred regarding issues raised by the Motion and issues relating to Plaintiffs' First Amended	
15	Complaint. The parties did not reach any agreements, but have now exchanged discovery	
16	responses and Rule 26 disclosures, and expect to meet and confer further.	
17	3. Plaintiffs need more time in which to review documents produced by	
18	Defendants and to decide whether or to what extent the assertions and allegations of the First	
19	Amended Complaint should be altered or abandoned in a Second Amended Complaint.	
20	4. After Defendants filed the Motion to Dismiss, the United States Supreme	
21	Court issued its decision in Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes, 180 L. Ed. 2d 374 (2011) ("Wal-	
22	Mart"). To the extent that Defendants contend that Wal-Mart requires dismissal of any class	
23	claims asserted by Plaintiffs in this action, the parties agree that – rather than having Defendants	
24	raise Wal-Mart issues for the first time in reply papers regarding the pending Motion to Dismiss	
25	the interests of justice and judicial economy would be better served by full briefing of the Wal-	
26	Mart issues.	
27		
28		

1	THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE, AND JOINTLY		
2	REQUEST THE COURT TO ORDER THAT:		
3	1. The hearing on Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss, and Plaintiffs'		
4	deadline for filing opposition papers, will be taken off calendar without prejudice to Defendants.		
5	2. Plaintiffs will have until September 8, 2011 to file a Second Amended		
6	Complaint or to notify Defendants that they do not intend to do so.		
7	3. If Plaintiffs file a Second Amended Complaint on or before September 8,		
8	2011, Defendants will immediately withdraw their currently pending Motion to Dismiss without		
9	prejudice. Defendants then will have 30 days following the filing of the Second Amended		
10	Complaint in which to file a responsive pleading, which may be a motion.		
11	4. If Plaintiffs notify Defendants on or before September 8, 2011 that		
12	Plaintiffs will not be filing a Second Amended Complaint, Defendants will have 30 days in which		
13	to re-notice the Motion to Dismiss and to file a new memorandum of points and authorities		
14	addressing any Wal-Mart issues which Defendants wish to bring to the attention of the Court.		
15	5. The parties will not proceed with any further discovery until the earlier of		
16	(i) the date that the Court rules on Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss, or (ii) the date that the		
17	Court rules on a motion filed by Defendants in response to any Second Amended Complaint filed		
18	by Plaintiffs, or (iii) the date that Defendants file an answer.		
19			
20			
21	<u>ORDER</u>		
22	In light of the parties' stipulation, defendants' pending motion to dismiss is denied without prejudice. It may be renoticed as provided in the stipulation.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	~ 1101		
25	Dated: 8/1/11		
26	HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
27			
28			
	Case No. CV 10 288-RS STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND MOTIONS RE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		

$\textbf{Casse-3:10-cv-02833-RS} \quad \textbf{Doccurrentt52} \quad \textbf{Filled 08/01/11} \quad \textbf{Page} \\ \textbf{50} \\ \textbf{15}$

1	Dated: July 29, 2011	
2	Respectfully Submitted By:	
3 4	NANCY L. ABELL HEATHER A. MORGAN PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP	
5		
6	By:/s/ Nancy L. Abell NANCY L. ABELL	
7 8 9	Attorneys for Defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, and THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, INC.	
10		
11	GORDON W. RENNEISEN CORNERSTONE LAW GROUP	
12	JEREMY L. FRIEDMAN	
13	ATTORNEY AT LAW KENDRA L. TANACEA	
14	LAW OFFICES OF KENDRA L. TANACEA	
15 16	By:/s/ Gordon W. Renneisen GORDON W. RENNEISEN	
17 18 19	Attorneys for Plaintiffs BRENDA HILL, MEDHANIE BERNE, PATSY HARDY, MICHELLE MIKE, EVELYN JENNINGS and RENA HARRISON	
20	on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	G N GV 10 200 PG	
	Case No. CV 10 288-RS STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND MOTIONS RE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	